



SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

# The development, implementation and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries: a systematic review of literature

Erik Koornneef MSc,<sup>1,2</sup> Paul Robben PhD,<sup>3,4</sup> Cothar Hajat BSc, MBBS, MPH, PhD, MRCP<sup>5</sup> and Azhar Ali BSc (Hons), MBChB, MRCP (UK), MBA<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Expert Advisor, Healthcare Quality, Ministry of Presidential Affairs, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

<sup>2</sup>PhD Candidate, Institute of Health Policy and Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Institute of Health Policy and Management, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Advisor, Dutch Health Care Inspectorate, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Adjunct Associate Professor, Institute of Public Health, UAE University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

<sup>6</sup>Group Quality & Physician Services Director, Abu Dhabi Health Services Co. (SEHA), Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

## Keywords

Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG), systematic review, Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC)

## Correspondence

Erik Koornneef  
Institute of Health Policy & Management  
Erasmus University  
Burg. Oudlaan 50, 3062 PA, Rotterdam  
The Netherlands  
E-mail: ekoornneef@gmail.com

Accepted for publication: 14 January 2015

doi:10.1111/jep.12337

## Abstract

**Rationale, aims and objectives** Our aim was to examine and describe the current situation in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) member countries regarding the development, implementation and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines (CPG). The objectives were to describe from where the studies originated, what the clinical focus was of each study and examine the methodology and the status of each study (i.e. development, dissemination, implementation and evaluation).

**Methods** Review of literature – two stages: stage 1: screening through an abstract review, followed by independent adjudicator; stage 2: detailed assessment and classification.

**Results** Considering the widespread acceptance that CPG's are useful and effective tools for quality improvement in health care, it is worth noting that relatively few studies have been conducted in the GCC region that examine CPG. Furthermore, the reviewers found that the quality of the research methods used could be improved. The majority of the studies that were conducted evaluated the effects of guidelines and focused on the 'lifestyle diseases', in particular diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. It is also worth noting that there has been a steady increase in the number of publications over the 10 years period.

**Conclusions** More attention needs to be given to developing, disseminating, implementing and evaluating CPG's in the GCC region in order to improve the quality and safety of health care.

## Introduction

Concerns about patient safety, an increased focus on high quality, rising consumer expectations and increased health care costs have all highlighted the need to regulate and improve the quality of health care services. The term health care regulation is used to describe the collective function by an entity (regulator) to act in the interest of the public in order to achieve regulatory objectives [1]. In order to abate or control risks and provide assurances to the society, different regulatory interventions have been introduced to both deter particular non-desirable actions and behaviours and encourage compliance with desired actions and behaviours. Both forms of regulation (deterrence and compliance) are used extensively in health care regulation [2].

Despite the best intentions of regulatory authorities, there still is a dearth of empirical evidence of the overall effectiveness of regulatory interventions on the quality of health care [3–5].

However, studies into the effectiveness of regulatory interventions have found moderate, positive results on the quality and safety of health care in relation to two regulatory interventions in particular: accreditation [6] and evidence-based best practice guidelines such as clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) [7–9]. The focus of this study is on one of these interventions in particular: This study will provide an overview of the availability, use and effects of guidelines in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) region. This study forms part of a broader investigation into the relationship between regulatory approaches and compliance with regulatory requirements for health care organizations and professionals [10].

In clinical practice, clinicians are encouraged to implement and adhere to evidence-based CPGs, as these are regarded as important tools for quality improvement and patient safety. CPGs are used to translate, adopt and implement best evidence into everyday clinical practice [11]. The Institute of Medicine [12] defines CPGs as 'statements that include recommendations intended to optimize patient care that are informed by a systematic review of evidence and an assessment of the benefits and harms of alternative care options'.

The aim of developing clinical guidelines is to produce explicit recommendations that are both scientifically valid and helpful in clinical practice [13]. Implementation can be described as 'a planned process and systematic introduction of innovations or changes of proven value; the aim being that these are given a structural place in professional practice, in the functioning of organizations or in the health care structure [9]. Finally, the evaluation of guidelines considers whether the recommendations in the guideline and pathway are adhered to, whether practices have changed and whether the intended health outcomes have improved [14].

There is evidence that the use of standardized practice is associated with improvements in the quality and safety of care [15–17], as well as cost savings [18]. Since the positive effects are widely acknowledged, health care regulatory authorities have regularly endorsed and mandated the development and implementation of guidelines [4]. The effectiveness of CPGs in terms of improvement in the quality of care and patient outcomes has been well documented [7,9], which has led to a proliferation of guidelines, often as part of a regulatory intervention.

This study analyses the current situation in one of the fastest changing regions in the world: the Gulf region in the Arabian Peninsula. Six countries in the Gulf region [the United Arab Emirates (UAE), the Kingdom of Bahrain, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Sultanate of Oman, the State of Qatar and the State of Kuwait] that shared a common language, religion and history in the Gulf region established a cooperative agreement in 1981, the GCC. The GCC countries collaborate on a variety of areas, including economic development, foreign policy and also health care. The GCC Council of Health Ministers is comprised of health ministers from each of the seven member states, and convenes biannually. The total, combined population of the six GCC countries was 45 million in 2011, with astonishing population growth rates of up to 850% in the last three decades in Qatar and 780% in the UAE [19], mainly because of the increase of expatriate workers. During the same period, GCC countries witnessed a rise in life expectancy (e.g. life expectancy in the UAE improved from 69 years in 1980 to 77 years in 2011) and significant improvements in under-five mortality, achieving reductions ranging from 70% to an impressive 91% lower mortality in Oman. Another notable characteristic is the large number of expatriates: nationals are a minority in all GCC countries, except Oman and Saudi Arabia [20].

## Methods

The aims of this review were to investigate the stages of development, implementation and evaluation of CPGs in the countries of the GCC region and to present the latest available information, per GCC country and clinical specialty.

## Screening

A systematic literature review was conducted in Medline and PubMed databases and Cochrane Library on CPGs in the GCC region. Searches included studies published between 2000 and 2013, in the English language. Two reviewers (EK and AA) independently screened the titles and abstracts and selected potentially relevant articles that met the inclusion criteria. Any differences between the two reviewers were referred to a third researcher (CA) for resolution.

The following search strategy was deployed:

- 1 Clinical practice guideline or clinical guidelines or evidence based guidelines
- 2 Develop\* or availab\* or implement\* or adopt\* or adher\* or compliance or disseminat\* or evaluat\* or Promulgat\* or effect\* or impact
- 3 Gulf Cooperation Countries or GCC or United Arab Emirates or UAE or Oman or Sultanate of Oman or Qatar or Saudi Arabia or Kingdom of Saudi Arabia or Kuwait or Bahrain or Kingdom of Bahrain
- 4 (1 and 2 and 3)

## Data extraction and assessment

Once the articles had been screened and selected for inclusion, the studies were assessed utilizing a standardized template and information on the following was recorded by two researchers (EK and AA):

- Country (countries) where research was carried out
  - Disease/condition
  - Type of study and research methodology
  - Stage of maturity (development, implementation, evaluation)
  - Date when study was conducted and publication date
- Any discrepancies or disagreements were resolved through discussions, involving the entire research team.

## Results


### Selection of publications

The final search was conducted on 2 October 2013 and resulted in 229 articles. Two reviewers independently reviewed the titles and abstract and keywords to determine eligibility. Any disputes were referred to a third researcher. This resulted in the selection of 73 papers for further analysis and assessment. Among these 73 articles identified, 58 were selected following the detailed assessment of the studies.

Out of these 58 articles, 24 (40.4%) were published by journals from the GCC region, primarily from Saudi Arabia (32.78% of all articles).

### Country or countries of origin

The majority of the publications originated from Saudi Arabia (27), followed by the UAE (8), Kuwait (7), Oman (4), Bahrain (3) and Qatar (1). In addition, two articles covered the entire GCC region and a further six covered the entire Middle East and North Africa Region (MENA region). However, the overwhelming



**Figure 1** Publications by country/region.

majority of articles refer to and compare their findings with international CPGs (50 out of 58 articles; Fig. 1).

**Topic of study: disease or condition**

Table 1 below indicates what type of disease or condition the articles focused on. Unsurprisingly, the majority of articles (30 out

**Table 1** Publications by topic

	<i>n</i> (%)
Diabetes	14 (24.1%)
Other	12 (20.7%)
Asthma	7 (12.1%)
Cancer	6 (10.3%)
Infectious diseases	5 (8.6%)
Hypertension	4 (6.9%)
Communicable diseases	3 (5.2%)
Community acquired pneumonia	3 (5.2%)
Cardiovascular disease	2 (3.4%)
N/A	2 (3.4%)

of 58 articles) dealt with the common lifestyle-associated diseases in the GCC region (i.e. cardiovascular, diabetes, hypertension and cancer). However, quite a range of topics were studied, including pandemic influenza, smoking cessation, etc.


Two articles did not deal with a specific topic, one article [21] dealt with the attitudes and self-reported behaviours of health care professionals towards CPGs in a hospital in Saudi Arabia and the second article [22] described a brief background on CPGs in Saudi Arabia.

**Publication date**

There has been a steady increase in the number of articles published over the last 15 years, as shown in Fig. 2 below, with a marked increase since 2010. Over 60% of all articles were published in the last 4 years.

**Stages and study design**

A small number of publications simply reproduce the guidelines in an article format, for example, the Osteoporosis Guidelines in Saudi Arabia [23] and GCC Guidelines for Community-Acquired



**Figure 2** Publications by year of publication.

Pneumonia [24] and a larger number describe the entire process for developing guidelines. In total, 20 articles describe the development of a guideline, most often based on a literature review and expert consultation. However, only one study [25] utilizes the AGREE Instrument to evaluate the quality of the CPG. A number of articles also make reference to guidelines developed by regional GCC working groups, such as the GCC Community-Acquired Pneumonia Working Group [26–28] and the MENA Region–National Comprehensive Cancer Network Breast Cancer Guidelines [29].

In total, seven publications focused solely on the implementation process and systematic introduction of the guidelines into practice, mainly using surveys as methodology, for example, the implementation of Asthma Guidelines in Oman [30].

In terms of publication productivity, it is difficult to infer anything from this number of articles, since there have been very few comparative studies. However, it appears to be lower than what could be expected, considering the population size. A study [31] into the quantity and quality of biomedical publications between 2001–2005 found that the 12 countries selected from the Arab world (including the GCC region) producing significantly fewer biomedical publications of lower quality than other Middle Eastern countries (Turkey, Israel and Iran). Other studies have found similar results [32,33].

Finally, the majority of the publications (31 out of 58) described findings from evaluating the adherence to guidelines or the effects of the implementation of guidelines. The research methodology to evaluate adherence and effects included cross-sectional studies, case series, retrospective reviews of medical records and in one study, a randomized controlled trial [34]. These evaluation studies are important as they attempt to discover whether practices have changed and whether the intended outcomes have been achieved.

A closer review of these evaluation studies indicate that out of the 31 studies, 25 concentrated solely on evaluating the adherence to the processes, for example the self-reported adherence of primary care doctors in Bahrain to the World Health Organization-recommended guidelines for the management of acute diarrhoea [35]. Two studies focused solely on the effects of the guidelines [36,37] and a further six remaining studies focused on both the adherence to guidelines as well as the effects, for example, one study from the UAE looked at the doctor's adherence to diabetes

guidelines and its effects on the health outcomes of patients [38]. Most of these studies used evaluation studies used methods such as chart reviews of patient files and reviews of medical records, such as [26,39,40], and a smaller number used prospective cross-sectional reviews through observation, for example [34,41,42], or surveys for health care professionals [35,42,43] and patients [44]. A number of studies used a combination of methods, for example, medical records reviews and doctor surveys [45].

The reviewers looked in particular at the methodological quality of the 31 studies that evaluated the adherence to and effects of CPGs. This part of the review focused on three criteria in particular: whether a standardized and validated evaluation tool with clear requirements based on an established CPG was used; whether the evaluation reflected existing international and national guidelines; and whether the appropriate study design was used and clearly described. Table 2 below summarizes the findings.

In terms of the strength of the evidence (see Table 3 below), a high proportion of evaluation studies that looked at the effects of guidelines on patient outcomes showed strong positive results. Whereas only a small proportion of the studies that reviewed health care professionals adherence showed strong levels of adherence with guidelines.

## Discussion

The main finding that emerged from this research is that the development, implementation and evaluation of CPGs is still in its

**Table 2** Methodological quality of evaluation studies

Review criteria	Percentage
1 Standardized/validated evaluation tool was used reflecting CPG requirements	54.8%
2 Study refers to existing international and national guidelines	80.6%
3 Appropriate study design was used and clearly described, that is, cross-sectional studies, intervention studies (randomized control trial), cohort studies (retrospective/prospective reviews)	67.7%

infancy in the GCC region. The relatively small number of studies that were found screened and reviewed concentrated on evaluating the effects of particular guidelines and described the development and implementation process. The majority of articles originated from Saudi Arabia and the studies focused on the lifestyle diseases most prevalent in the region.

### Quantity: publication productivity

The underlying premise of this study is that the publication of articles on the development, dissemination, implementation and evaluation of CPGs is an indicator or proxy for the current situation in the GCC region in terms of evidence-based health care practice.

It is essential that CPGs are adapted to the complex social, cultural and economic situation in a region in order for the guidelines to have optimal effect [46]. However, the total number of articles found, screened and selected, 58 in total, is relatively small, considering the combined population of the entire GCC region. Other researchers, such as [24,47], also found a scarcity of studies into the development, dissemination and evaluation of CPGs from the Gulf Region. It is worth noting that according to the SJR-SCImago Journal & Country Rank [48], the six countries are ranked as follows in term of the number of citeable documents in the subject area of Medicine: Saudi Arabia (41st in the world), Kuwait (60), UAE (62), Oman (77), Qatar (82) and Bahrain (92). In comparison, countries such as the United States have seen a proliferation of guidelines with over 700 guidelines accepted by its national guideline authority, the National Guideline Clearinghouse, in 2008 alone [12].

Apart from a few initiatives such as the MENA – NCCN Breast Cancer Guidelines network, there appears to be a shortage of professional associations and regulatory authorities involved in guidelines development, review and adoption. It is worth pointing out that out of 98 member organizations of the Guidelines International Network (G-I-N) only two hail from the GCC region [49]. In comparison, in many countries the efforts to standardize health care and improve quality and patient safety agencies have resulted in the establishment of national repositories of guidelines, such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in England that has published over 100 pathways and almost 200 guidelines to date [50]. In the United States, the National Clearinghouse has published almost 3000 guidelines and internationally, the G-I-N's database currently lists almost 4000 CPGs [12]. This proliferation has resulted in an increased number of guidelines in place in health care providers.

### Quality: implementation and adherence

Considering the widespread evidence of the positive effects of the implementation of CPGs on the process and outcome of health

care, it is encouraging that recently, there has been an significant increase in the number of publications coming from the GCC region, in particular since 2010.

It has been estimated that around 70% of the population of the GCC region is overweight and around one-third of the population obese [19]. Therefore, it is important to note that the majority of the publications address the clinical needs associated with the so-called 'life style diseases' in the region (diabetes, hypertension, etc.).

While it is encouraging that a significant number of research publications attempted to review and evaluate the effects and adherence to guidelines, there is room for improvement of the methodological quality of these studies. Only a small majority of the evaluation studies (54.8%) used a standardized and validated evaluation tool with clear requirements based on an established CPG and around one-third of the evaluation studies used an appropriate research method.

In addition, the actual published results have been mixed. In Qatar, for example, adherence to diabetes guidelines was classified as intermediate, with an overall adherence rating of 68.1% [51]. Compliance with paediatric asthma guidelines in a large emergency department in Saudi Arabia was considered to be poor, with only 3 out of 8 recommendations applied consistently [40]. Adherence to community-acquired pneumonia guidelines in Oman was the subject of another study [26], which found very poor adherence to local guidelines. Similarly, in a study in Kuwait [52], the adherence to antibiotic prescribing guidelines was low, with only 30.4% of prescriptions fully adhering to the guidelines. In terms of hypertension management in one region in Saudi Arabia [53], the study concluded that most doctors did not adhere to the guidelines and lack the necessary knowledge. A study in the UAE [43] found that while doctors have favourable attitudes towards smoking cessation counselling guidelines, their actual practice fell below recommendations. However, in another study from Saudi Arabia [21], both the doctor's attitude towards the guidelines as well as the self-reported adherence was high, which was attributed to the credibility and respectability of the source of the guidelines.

These findings in relation to a weak adherence to guidelines by health care professionals confirms the mixed findings from other studies [7,51] and can be explained by the fact that the development of CPGs often does not meet the required standards set by international and national organizations such as the US Institute of Medicine or UK-based NICE. In addition, many evaluation studies reviewed adherence and outcomes against non-specific best practice requirement, such as medical nutritional treatment based on recommendations from the American Diabetes Association [34], rather than CPGs developed regionally or locally. Other explanations for the lack of adherence include lack of education and training [16,53], absence of clear implementation strategies [17,18], poor access to the evidence [12] and lack of awareness and familiarity amongst health care professionals [54]. Interestingly, the lack of perceived credibility of the guidelines was also cited as an explanation for poor adherence to the guidelines [21,40].

In terms of the effects on patient outcomes, it should also be noted that the studies that evaluated the effects of guidelines on health outcomes showed a largely positive, strong impact. Out of the eight studies that looked at the effects of the adherence with the

**Table 3** Summary of the findings of evaluation studies

	Strong/significant results	Moderate results	Poor results
Adherence	32.3%	19.4%	48.4%
Patient outcomes	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%



guidelines on patient outcomes, five reported significant positive results [34,36–38,41,55–57]. It is worth noting however, that only a small number (8 out of 58) evaluated effects on patient outcomes.

In terms of research methodology used, there is still a lot of room for improvement. Only one study [34] used a randomized control trial and a large number of studies simply described the process for developing a guideline. Furthermore, the research methodology used was often descriptive and seldom were the guidelines appraised for their quality. This finding is consistent with other recent reviews of international guidelines, which found that the quality scores against the AGREE appraisal instrument were moderate to weak [25,58].

## Conclusion

The overall goal of the research was to review how countries in the GCC region have developed, implemented and evaluated CPGs. The GCC region has seen unprecedented economic and demographic growth, as well as social and cultural change. As a consequence, the prevalence of lifestyle diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases is widespread [51]. It is therefore encouraging that many of the CPGs developed and implemented in the GCC region focus on these diseases in particular.

As described above, the relatively small number of research articles published in the GCC region over the 13-year period raises concerns about the likelihood to successfully address any evidence gap and attain better quality outcomes. This is a particular concern to the GCC region since the health care sector relies on the experience and expertise of health care professional from a wide variety of different backgrounds. In addition, while some evaluation studies were methodologically robust, many studies focused on generic practice requirements rather than evaluating the effects of and adherence to specific, relevant CPGs. A more rigorous approach to the development and evaluation of CPGs needs to be established to address these methodological weaknesses.

Despite all this, a number of positive signs may indicate that there is a gradual change occurring, as evidenced by the recent increase in number of studies, as well as an emphasis on evaluating the effectiveness and a focus on lifestyle diseases.

Further in-depth research exploring the reasons behind non-adherence to CPGs is needed as this will enable regulators, health care providers and health care professionals to apply the required clinical practice in a consistent manner, resulting in better outcomes for patients. In particular, further research need to look at the application of regulatory mechanisms using a procedural justice approach towards regulatory requirements that support the argument that when health care authorities use fair procedures rather than sanctions, health care professionals are more likely to overcome barriers to achieving adherence to guidelines [59].

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

## References

1. Sparrow, M. (2000) *The Regulatory Craft: Controlling Risks, Solving Problems and Managing Compliance*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
2. Angelelli, J., Mor, V., Intrator, O., Angelelli, J., Mor, V., Intrator, O., Feng, Z. & Zinn, J. (2003) Oversight of nursing homes: pruning the tree or just spotting bad apples? *The Gerontologist*, 43 (Special II), 67–75.
3. Walshe, K. & Shortell, S. M. (2004) Social regulation of healthcare organisations in the United States: developing a framework for evaluation. *Health Services Management Research*, 17 (2), 79–99.
4. Sutherland, K. & Leatherman, S. (2006) Regulation and quality improvement: a review of the evidence. London: The Health Foundation. Available at: <http://www.health.org.uk/quip/> (last accessed 19 July 2014).
5. Walshe, K. & Boyd, A. (2007) Designing regulation: a review. A review for the Healthcare Commission of the systems for regulation and their impact on the performance in seven sectors and a synthesis of the lessons and implications for regulatory design in healthcare. Manchester: Centre for Public Policy and Management.
6. Braithwaite, J., Greenfield, D., Westbrook, J., *et al.* (2010) Health service accreditation as a predictor of clinical and organizational performance: a blinded, random, stratified study. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 19, 14–21.
7. Grimshaw, J. M. & Russell, I. T. (1993) Effect of clinical guidelines on medical practice: a systematic review of rigorous evaluations. *Lancet*, 342, 1317–1322.
8. Thomas, L. H., McColl, E., Cullum, N., Rousseau, N., Soutter, J. & Steen, N. (1998) Effect of clinical guidelines in nursing, midwifery, and the therapies: a systematic review of evaluations. *Quality in Health Care*, 7, 183–191.
9. Lugtenberg, M., Burgers, J. S. & Westert, G. P. (2009) Effects of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on quality of care: a systematic review. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 18, 385–392.
10. Koornneef, E. J., Robben, P., Al Seiani, M. B. & Al Siksek, Z. (2012) Health system reform in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *Health Policy*, 108, 115–121.
11. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2013) Annual review 2012/2013. Available at: <http://review2012-2013.nice.org.uk> (last accessed 20 August 2013).
12. Institute of Medicine (2013) *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
13. Grimshaw, J. M. & Russell, I. T. (1994) Achieving health gain through clinical guidelines II: ensuring guidelines change medical practice. *Quality in Health Care*, 3, 45–52.
14. Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (1998) *A Guide to the Development, Implementation and Evaluation of Clinical Practice Guidelines*. Canberra, Australia: Commonwealth of Australia.
15. Davis, D. A. & Taylor-Vaisey, A. (1997) A systematic review of theoretic concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 157 (4), 408–416.
16. Klazinga, N. S. (2003) Development and validation of an international appraisal instrument for assessing the quality of clinical practice guidelines: the AGREE project [AGREE]. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 12, 18–23.
17. Trowbridge, R. & Weingarten, S. (2001) Practice guidelines. In *Making Health Care Safer: A Critical Analysis of Patient Safety Practices* (eds K. G. Shojania, B. W. Duncan, K. M. McDonald, *et al.*), pp. 575–581. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 43 (Prepared

- by the University of California at San Francisco–Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-97-0013), AHRQ Publication No. 01-E058.
18. Woolf, S. H., Grol, R., Hutchinson, A., Eccles, M. & Grimshaw, J. (1999) Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 318 (7182), 527–530.
  19. Alrouh, H., Ismail, A. & Cheema, S. (2013) Demographic and health indicators in Gulf Cooperation Council nations with an emphasis on Qatar. *Journal of Local and Global Health Perspectives*, 3, DOI: 10.5339/jlghp.2013.3.
  20. Shah, N. M. (2012) Socio-demographic transitions among nationals of GCC countries: implications for migration and labour force trends. *Migration and Development*, 1, 138–148.
  21. Wahabi, H. A., Alzeidan, R. A., Fayed, A. A., Esmail, S. A. & Al Asari, Z. A. (2011) Attitude and practice of the health care professionals towards the clinical practice guidelines in King Khalid University Hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 17, 763–767.
  22. Al Ansari, L. A. & Alkhenizan, A. (2004) Towards evidence-based clinical practice guidelines in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 25 (11), 1555–1558.
  23. Raef, H., Al-Bugami, M., Balharith, S., Moawad, M., El-Shaker, M., Hussain, A., Al-Shaikh, A. & Al-Badawi, I. (2011) Updated recommendations for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis: a local perspective. *Annals of Saudi Medicine*, 31 (2), 111–128.
  24. Memish, Z. A., Ahmed, Q. A., Arabi, Y., Shibl, A. M., Niederman, M. S. & GCC CAP Working Group. (2007) Rationale for producing evidence-based guidelines for community-acquired pneumonia in the Gulf Corporation Council. *Journal of Chemotherapy (Florence, Italy)*, 19 (Suppl1), 13–16.
  25. Al-Ansary, L. A., Tricco, A. C., Adi, Y., et al. (2013) A systematic review of recent clinical practice guidelines on the diagnosis, assessment and management of hypertension. *PLoS ONE*, 8 (1), e53744.
  26. Al-Abri, S. S., Al-Maashani, S., Memish, Z. A. & Beeching, N. J. (2012) An audit of inpatient management of community-acquired pneumonia in Oman: a comparison with regional clinical guidelines. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 5 (3), 250–256.
  27. Jumaa, P. A., Hateley, P. M., Bacon, S., Salabsalo, F. L. & Neringer, R. (2007) Experience applying the UK meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) guidelines in a tertiary referral hospital in the United Arab Emirates 1999–2002: same guidelines, different cultures. *The Journal of Hospital Infection*, 67 (4), 323–328.
  28. Memish, Z. A., Shibl, A. M. & Ahmed, Q. A. (2002) Guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in Saudi Arabia: a model for the Middle East region. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 20 (Suppl. ), S1–S12.
  29. Shamieh, O. & Jazieh, A. R. (2010) Modification and implementation of NCCN Guidelines on palliative care in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 8 (Suppl.), S41–S47.
  30. Baddar, S., Worthing, E. A., Al-Rawas, O. A., Osman, Y. & Al-Riyami, B. M. (2006) Compliance of physicians with documentation of an asthma management protocol. *Respiratory Care*, 51 (12), 1432–1440.
  31. Benamer, H. T. S. & Bakoush, O. (2009) Arab nations lagging behind other Middle Eastern countries in biomedical research: a comparative study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 9 (26).
  32. Zeeneldin, A. & Taha, F. M. (2014) Qatar biomedical and cancer publications in PubMed between 2000 and 2012. *Qatar Medical Journal*, 5, 31–37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/qmj.2014.5>.
  33. Hammad, F. T. (2014) Urology research publications: lessons learned from a developing country. *BMC Research Notes*, 7 (1), 429.
  34. Al-Shookri, A., Khor, G. L., Chan, Y. M., Loke, S. C. & Al-Maskari, M. (2012) Effectiveness of medical nutrition treatment delivered by dietitians on glycaemic outcomes and lipid profiles of Arab, Omani patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine: A Journal of the British Diabetic Association*, 29 (2), 236–244.
  35. Ismaeel, A. Y., Al Khaja, K. A., Damanhori, A. H., Sequeira, R. P. & Botta, G. A. (2007) Management of acute diarrhea in primary care in Bahrain: self-reported practices of doctors. *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, 25 (2), 205–211.
  36. Arabi, Y. M., Haddad, S., Tamim, H. M., Al-Dawood, A., Al-Qahtani, S., Ferayan, A., Al-Abdulmughni, I., Al-Oweis, J. & Rugaan, A. (2010) Mortality reduction after implementing a clinical practice guidelines-based management protocol for severe traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care*, 25 (2), 190–195. doi: 10.1016/j.jc.2009.05.004.
  37. Khattab, M. S., Swidan, A. M., Farghaly, M. N., Swidan, H. M., Ashtar, M. S., Darwish, E. A., Al Mazrouie, A. K. & Mohammad, A. A. (2007) Quality improvement programme for diabetes care in family practice settings in Dubai. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 13 (3), 492–504.
  38. Andrews, D., Popiel, A., Margolis, S. A. & Reed, R. L. (2002) Improving diabetic patients' outcomes in family medicine in the United Arab Emirates. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 8 (4–5), 566–573.
  39. Al-Taweel, D. M., Awad, A. I. & Johnson, B. J. (2013) Evaluation of adherence to international guidelines for treating patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Kuwait. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*, 35 (2), 244–250. doi: 10.1007/s11096-012-9738-8.
  40. Wahabi, H. A. & Alziedan, R. A. (2012) Reasons behind non-adherence of healthcare practitioners to pediatric asthma guidelines in an emergency department in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Health Services Research*, 12, 226. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-12-226.
  41. Alfadda, A. A., Bin-Abdulrahman, K. A., Saad, H. A., Mendoza, D. O., Angkaya-Bagayawa, F. F. & Yale, J. F. (2011) Effect of an intervention to improve the management of patients with diabetes in primary care practice. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 32 (1), 36–40.
  42. Aslultan, M. S., Mayet, A. Y., Malhani, A. A. & Alshaikh, M. K. (2010) Pattern of intravenous proton pump inhibitors use in ICU and Non-ICU setting: a prospective observational study. *Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology: Official Journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association*, 16 (4), 275–279.
  43. Awad, M. A., El Kouatly, M. & Fakhry, R. (2010) Smoking counseling practices of physicians in the United Arab Emirates. *Global Health Promotion*, 17 (4), 5–14.
  44. Khadadah, M., Mahboub, B., Al-Busaidi, N. H., Sliman, N., Soriano, J. B. & Bahous, J. (2009) Asthma insights and reality in the Gulf and the near East. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 13 (8), 1015–1022.
  45. Abudahish, A. & Bella, H. (2010) Adherence of primary care physicians in Aseer region, Saudi Arabia to the National Protocol for the Management of Asthma. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 16 (2), 171–175.
  46. Bohlega, S., Alsaadi, T., Amir, A., Hosny, H., Karawagh, A. M., Moulin, D., Riachi, A. S. & Shelbaya, S. (2010) Guidelines for the pharmacological treatment of peripheral neuropathic pain: expert panel recommendations for the middle East region. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 38 (2), 295–317.
  47. Grimshaw, J. M., Thomas, R. E., MacLennan, G., et al. (2004) Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies. *Health Technology Assessment*, 8 (6), 1–94.
  48. SCImago (2014) SJR – SCImago Journal & Country Rank. Available at: <http://www.scimagojr.com> (last accessed 19 July 2014)
  49. Guidelines International Network (GIN) (2014) Annual report 2013. Available at: <http://www.g-i-n.net/document-store/annual-reports/g-i-n-annual-report-2013.pdf> (last accessed 20 July 2014).

50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2014) Annual report and accounts 2013/14. Manchester: NICE.
51. Issam Diab, M., Julienne Johnson, B. & Hudson, S. (2013) Adherence to clinical guidelines in management of diabetes and prevention of cardiovascular disease in Qatar. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*, 35 (1), 101–112. doi: 10.1007/s11096-012-9714-3.
52. Aly, N. Y., Omar, A. A., Badawy, D. A., Al-Mousa, H. H. & Sadek, A. A. (2012) Audit of physicians' adherence to the antibiotic policy guidelines in Kuwait. *Medical Principles and Practice: International Journal of the Kuwait University, Health Science Centre*, 21 (4), 310–317. doi: 10.1159/000334769.
53. Al-Gelban, K. S., Khan, M. Y., Al-Khaldi, Y. M., Mahfouz, A. A., Abdelmoneim, I., Daffalla, A., Ossama, A. M. & Al-Amri, H. S. (2011) Adherence of primary health care physicians to hypertension management guidelines in the Aseer region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation*, 22 (5), 941–948.
54. Cabana, M. D., Rand, C. S., Powe, N. R., Wu, A. W., Wilson, M. H., Abboud, P. A. C., & Rubin, H. R. (1999) Why don't physicians follow Clinical Practice Guidelines? A framework for improvement. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282 (15), 1458–1465.
55. Al-Otair, H. A., Khurshid, S. M. & Alzeer, A. H. (2012) Venous thromboembolism in a medical intensive care unit. The effect of implementing clinical practice guidelines. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 33 (1), 55–60.
56. Dib, J. G., Al-Tawfiq, J. A., Al Abdulmohsin, S., Mohammed, K. & Jenden, P. D. (2009) Improvement in vancomycin utilization in adults in a Saudi Arabian Medical Center using the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee guidelines and simple educational activity. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 2 (3), 141–146. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2009.07.002.
57. Reed, R. I., Revel, A. D., Carter, A. O., Saadi, H. F. & Dunn, E. V. (2005) A controlled before-after trial of structured diabetes care in primary health centers in a newly developed country. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care: Journal of the International Society for Quality in Health Care*, 17 (4), 281–286.
58. Alonso-Coello, P., Irfan, A., Solà, I., Gich, I., Delgado-Noguera, M., Rigau, D., Tort, S., Bonfill, X., Burgers, J. & Schunemann, H. (2010) The quality of clinical practice guidelines over the last two decades: a systematic review of guideline appraisal studies. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 19, 1–7.
59. Tyler, T., Mentovich, A. & Satyavada, S. (2013) What motivates adherence to medical recommendations? The procedural justice approach to gaining deference in the medical arena. *Regulation & Governance*, 8(3), 350–370. doi: 10.1111/rego.12043.